#### CDISC 360i Connecting Standards from Design to Analysis to Submission

Peter Van Reusel

Sam Hume







## **Meet the Speaker**

Peter Van Reusel

Title: Chief Standards Officer Organization: CDISC

Peter Van Reusel provides executive leadership to the development and implementation of clinical standards in line with CDISC's strategy and operational plans, working closely with the President and CEO, as well as CDISC staff and stakeholders. He has over 20 years' experience in senior roles in pharma and at CROs, providing standards expertise and carrying out other standards work in various organizational settings. A long-time, CDISC-authorized instructor, Peter has helped significantly in developing CDISC training courses.

He previously served as CDISC's European Liaison, fostering relationships with key European regulatory, academic, and biopharma stakeholders. Peter is also an active PHUSE collaborator.





## **Meet the Speaker**

Sam Hume

Title: Principal Consultant Organization: CDISC

Sam Hume co-leads the CDISC Data Exchange Standards team, advises CDISC leadership on strategy and technical topics, and contributes to COSA, CORE, CDISC Library, and other CDISC projects. Sam formerly served as the CDISC VP of Data Science. During his 30 years in the biopharmaceutical industry, he has held several senior-level technology positions. Sam is an active PHUSE contributor. He holds a doctorate in Information Systems.

## Agenda

- CDISC 360 lessons learned & where are we now
- CDISC 360i: implementing the vision

## **CDISC 360 lessons learned**

What were we trying to solve

## Two dimensional standards

- CDISC Foundational models
   provide much needed structure
  - Normative Content
  - 2 dimensional (tables, columns)
  - Standard to represent data

### • The Information itself is not defined

- We do not need new structures
- We need to define
  - Entities
  - Semantics (meaning)
  - Relationships between information
  - Rules in the data lifecycle

	Question Text	Prompt	SDTM or CDASH Variable Name	BRIDG	Definition	CRF Completion Instructions	Information for Sponsors	Cor	
1	Were vital signs collected?	Vital signs collected?	VSPERF	PerformedObservation Result value	Central prompt question regarding whether or not any VS were collected during the study. This provides verification that all other fields on the CRF were deliberately left blank. (NY) (See <u>Section 2.2.</u> )	Indicate if the viral signs were collected. If yes, include the appropriate details where indicated on the CRF.	The interl purpose of collecting this field is to help with data cleaning and monitoring. See Best Practice Section 24, FAQ #6. For the SDTM-based dataset, SDTMIG variable VSSTAT is derived from a VSSTAT is derived from a	0	
2	On what date were the measurements performed?	Date	VSDAT	PerformedActivity .dateRange*	Date of measurements.	Record date of measurements using this format (DD-MON-YYYY).	The date of measurement can be derived from a collected date of visit and in such cases a separate measurement date field is not required. For the SDTM-based dataset, the SDTM IG	R/C	

ariable Name	Name Variable Label Type C Study Identifier Char			Contr Terms, or Fo	Controlled Terms, Codelist or Format		CDISC Notes Unique identifier for a study.		
TUDYID						Identifier			
OMAIN	Domain Abbreviation			VS Identifier			Two-character abbreviation for the domain.		
SUBJID	Unique Subject Identifier		Char			Identifier	Identifier used to uniquely identify a subject across all studies for all application or submissions involving the product.		
SSEQ	Sequence Number		Num			Identifier	Sequence Number given to ensure uniqueness of subject records within a domain May be any valid number.		Req
SGRPID	Group ID		Char			Identifier	Used to tie together a block of related records in a single domain for a subject.		
SSPID	Sponsor-Defined Identifier		Char			Identifier	Sponsor-defined reference number. Perhaps pre-printed on the CRF as an explicit line identifier or defined in the sponsor's operational database.		Perm
STESTCD	Vital Signs Test Short Name Variable Name STUDYID		Char	(VSTEST	CD)	Topic	Short name of the measurement, test, or examination described in VSTEST. It can be used as a column name when converting a dataset from a vertical to a horizontal format. The value in VSTESTCD cannot be longer than 8 characters,		
			Varia	ble Label	Type	Codelist/ Controlled Terms	Core	CDISC Notes	
			Study Id	entifier	Char		Req	DM.STUDYID	
		USUBJID	Unique S Identifie	Subject r	ject Char Req DM.USUBJID				
		SUBJID	Subject for the S	ldentifier tudy	Char		Req	DM.SUBJID. SUBJID is required in ADSL, but permissible in other datasets.	
		SITEID	Study Si	te Identifier	Char		Req	DM.SITEID. SITEID is required in ADSL, but permissible in other datasets.	
	l	SITEGRy	Pooled S	Site Group y	Char		Perm	Character description of a grouping or pooling of clinical sites for analysis purposes. F SITEGR3 is the name of a variable containing site group (pooled site) names, where th has been done according to the third site grouping algorithm, defined in variable metad SITEGR3 does not mean the third group of sites.	or exam te group lata;
		SITEGRyN	Pooled S (N)	site Group y	Num		Perm	The numeric code for SITEGRy. One-to-one mapping to SITEGRy within a study.	
		REGIONy	Geograp y	hic Region	Char		Perm	Character description of geographical region. For example, REGIONI might have value 'Europe', 'North America', 'Rest of World'; REGION2 might have values of 'United' of World'.	ies of */ States',
							-		



## **Biomedical Concepts**

The CDISC 360 Proof of Concept: Adding a conceptual layer to standards

- Evolve from normative to informative standards
- Create and store standards as concepts which create meaning
- Electronically publish data standards as linked metadata
- Add computer executable process metadata which enables end to end automation
- Develop concept-based standard definitions, and test and demonstrate end-to-end automation of study specification, data processing, and analysis
  - → Test and demonstrate, but not building software



## **CDISC 360 lessons learned**

Project approach

## Early ideation of a Biomedical Concept



cdisc

......

.....

.......

......

....

......

....

.......

.....

## **CDISC 360 Workstreams**



specification (Use Case 1)

create artifacts (Use Case 2)

(Use Case 3)

## **CDISC 360 Workstreams**





# 360 Participation Summary

## **Project Kickoff:**

- 36 Resources specified
- 20 Organizations

#### **Execution Phase:**

- 107 Resources specified
- 38 Organizations
  - Pharma-Biotech Sponsor: 20
  - CRO: 6
  - Technology Provider: 11
  - Regulatory: 1







## **CDISC 360 lessons learned**

Where are we today

## Standards Development

- Complete end to end standards
  - Data Collection instruments
    - Analysis Results
    - Endpoint definitions
    - Digital protocol and study design
    - Trial Master File
- Enrich existing standards
- Refine and test Biomedical Concepts
- Link concepts to standard implementation
  - Understand Analysis Concepts and link to Biomedical Concepts
- Add transformations and derivations content
  - → Digitalize Therapeutic Area Guides



BCs







## **Standards Delivery**

- Evolve library technology and schema
- × Refine and test the BC models
- ☑ Refine and deploy BC software tools
  - Load BC content into the CDISC Library
  - Surface BC content via APIs and the Library Browser
- → Support Automation

### Evolve toward collaborative curation

- Develop and rollout governance process
- Create CDISC Library curation tools
- Develop standards curation training
- Enhance CDISC Library to load community standards implementations

→ Crowdsource standards







## **CDISC 360i: implementing the vision**

## **CDISC 360i**

- Define end to end standards
  - Digitalize information from protocol to reporting
  - · Link concepts to representation standards
    - Forms definition, eDTs, DHT, SDTM specs, ADaM specs, TFL specs, ...
  - Enrich with transformation & derivation logic
- Study design & build
  - Select concept and concept groups in digital Schedule of Activities
  - Automates study builds
    - Forms definition, SDTM specs, ADaM specs, TFL specs, ...
  - Provides derivation & transformation algorithms

## Automate data flow

- Demonstrate end to end automation
  - Starts with linking Schedule of Activities to Concepts (and Concept Groups)
- Automates transformations & derivation between data states
  - Collection, tabulation, analysis, results











## 360i Study Package



#### Metadata

 Publish a complete study metadata package that covers the full study data pipeline from study design through TLFs.

#### Data

 Publish the complete set of raw datasets for the test study to execute the automated study data pipeline.

#### Software

 Publish a preconfigured set of open-source software tools that consume the study metadata and data to execute the study design using the test data.

#### Demonstration

 Execute the study build and data pipeline automation in a Connectathon event to demonstrate generating analysis results from a study design.



## **Description of the End State**

360i has published a complete preconfigured study package with all the components defined in metadata from study design to submission, test data for the study, and software to execute the study data pipeline to generate analysis results





# What's Changed since the CDISC 360 POC?

## New standards:

- DDF USDM
- Analysis Results Standard
- Biomedical Concepts and Dataset Specializations
- sdtm.oak transformations
- Dataset-JSON for dataset exchange
- Open conformance rules

### New software tools:

- Open Study Builder
- TLF Designer
- admiral
- CORE
- sdtm.oak
- Dataset-JSON conversion tools
- Other COSA tools
- Other Pharmaverse tools



# Software Tools since the CDISC 360 POC\*







\* Examples listed – not a comprehensive listing

\* Open-source components expected to be available

# **CDISC 360i Phase 1: Generating SDTM**

#### **Technical Process**

........

........

........

.....

..........

cdisc







## Standards driven automation: Faster Innovation at Reduced Costs



## Community development

End to End standards provide faster innovation

# Open-source software

Path of least resistance



## **Thank You!**

## We Want Your Feedback!



